

Mangrove Forest Distributions of the World

Chandra Giri - ARTS/EROS/USGS Ochieng, E. - United Nations Environment Programme Larry Tieszen – USGS EROS Zhiliang Zhu - USGS Ashbindu Singh – United Nations Environment Programme Norman Duke – University of Queensland, Australia



Mangrove Forest Distributions of the World GLS Data Issues





Global distribution of mangroves

USGS 110,000 -240,000 square kilometers

GLS
Distinct Signature!!



GLS plus other data e.g. Aster

- Converted digital numbers to the top of the atmosphere reflectance
- Ground truth data and existing maps and databases were used to select training samples and also for iterative labeling.
- "true mangroves

A AR IN CONTRACTOR





4.3)

NDVI

NDMI

;NDVI expression exp_ndvi= '(b1) gt 0.10 '

;NDMI Threshold expression exp_gt265='((b1) gt -0.21) and ((b1) lt 0.10)'

;DEM elevation parameter exp_dem='((b1) It 35),







Mangroves & Swamp Forest

Clear Boundary

Swamp Forest

Mangrove



- -First wall-to-wall map -42% in Asia
- -Most comprehensive,
- -globally consistent
- Very small areas

- -20% in Africa
 - -15% in North and Central America
 - -12% in Oceania
 - -11% in South Amerca









137,760 square kilometers



Country









Mangrove Area ('000 Ha)

Major Conclusions

Most comprehensive, globally consistent, and first highest resolution global map of mangrove distributions with better spatial and thematic details

Area in 2000 was 137,760 square kilometers in 118 countries and territories,

~12.3% smaller than the most recent estimate by FAO 75% of world's mangroves are found in just 15 countries, Largest % are between 5^o N and 5^o S latitude

~6.9% is protected under the existing protected areas network (IUCN I-IV).



Change analysis from 1990 to 2005 Natural Anthropogenic











Resort





Shrimp farms replacing mangroves in Gulf of Fonseca, Honduras



1987-1999: shrimp farms and ponds have mushroomed, carpeting the landscape around the Gulf of Fonseca, in blocks of blue and black shapes

Urban expansion near Kuala Lumpur Malaysia





Landsat 2005









Mangrove forest cover change from 1973-2005







Present status

Africa & some part of Asia is complete



Outreach



Imaging

Browse by Top

Atmosphe He Lar Li Ocear Snow and Ic Human Presenc Remote Sensir

Browse by Dat



Winter 2010 Volume 25 Number 1

< back to issue

Cover Story At the Tipping Point

How DigitalGlobe's Latest Satellite Launch is Breaking Down Barriers

Features

A New Era in Elevation Models

3D with LiDAR, Optical and Radar

Forests of the Sea

Global Distributions and Dynamics of Mangroves

Open story tools

Forests of the Sea

Global Distributions and Dynamics of Mangroves



1 of 6

14 -

Figure 1. Mangrove forests destroyed during Asian Tsunami of 2004, Southern Thailand.

01 02 03 04 05 06

RIBE



roblem is resolv orizations or tra SATO, on 1-86

nal information 0A.M. to 6:00]

are atten eb site m:

ent list of categ nay change. As

are trying to r 3Dhttp%3A//c Your IP Address:152.61 Category:CSIRC

ALL STORIES MORE ABOUT THIS STORY

Contribution to International Programs







GLS Data Issues

- Cloud Cover
- Image Quality
- Data Gaps/Incomplete Coverage



Seamless data: excellent for mangrove mapping – GLS 2000





GLS 2000

Manila Bay, Philippines

Stable GLS time series





1990 Landsat 5 TM





2001 Landsat 5 TM



2005 Landsat 7 ETM+





GLS 2000













GLS 2000

GLS 2005





1990 data in Pacific Islands

Recommendations for improvement

- Cloud free
- Gap Filled, GLS 2005 in tropical areas
- Full coverage
- Additional images
- GLOVIS Issues
 - Data in hard disk
 - Option of downloading multiple scenes.
 - Option of downloading a subset of a scene/scenes or subset of bands

GLS data Supplemented by Landsat archive/other satellite data is needed

Mangroves in New Caledonia

Where are data gaps in the GLS datasets? ?How stable is the GLS time series? ?How are we going to handle the 1990 calibration issue? ?Are transitions between adjacent path/rows derived from L-5 and from L7 data seamless? ?What is the proportion of data with poor gap-filled results? How is itcontrolled (QC)? ?What is proportion of path/rows with no L-5 available and no good L-7 for interpolation (gap-filled)? ?How consistent (in terms of season) has been scene selection? ?What are we missing by having such infrequent time sampling with GLS in terms of disturbance history? ?Do we still have issues with geodetic correction? How is it in high latitudes? Ideas for future improvements? *How can we benefit from collaborations with our international partners on processing Landsat-like data?

