

### Multi-sensor imaging of tree and water cover time-series at continental to global scales

Joe Sexton Min Feng, Saurabh Channan, John Townshend (PI)

Global Land Cover Facility Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland

Contributing partners: Jon Ranson, Chris Neigh, Paul Montesano (NASA-GSFC) Peter Leimgruber, Qiongyu Huang, Sumalika Biswas, Melissa Songer (Smithsonian) Christiane Schmullius (University of Jena) Nairara Pinto (NASA-JPL)

> NASA LCLUC MuSLI Team Meeting Gaithersburg, MD April 6, 2018





## Objectives: algorithms

- Multi-sensor ("-agnostic") estimation of land cover
  - tree-canopy (percent)
  - water (binary)
  - Landsat-5,-7 (Global Land Survey)
  - Sentinel-1, -2

### Targets: land-cover datasets

- Percent tree-canopy cover & binary water cover
  - Resolution: annual, 30-m
  - Extent:
    - Global in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015
    - North and South America from 2010 2015







### Algorithm - estimation





Sexton, JO; X-P Song; M Feng; P Noojipady; A Anand; C Huang; D-H Kim; KM Collins; S Channan; C DiMiceli; JR Townshend; *International Journal of Digital Earth* **2013**, 6, 427-448. Sexton, J.O., P. Noojipady, A. Anand, X.-P. Song, C. Huang, S.M. McMahon, M. Feng, S. Channan, J.R. Townshend. 2015. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 156: 418-425

NASA

### Global, pixel-level estimates of cover and uncertainty.



A model for the distribution of all possible states of tree cover, given the best available imagery.



### Compositing output estimates

- Based on uncertainty layers
  - Principle of Maximum Likelihood: Take the estimate of cover with the lowest uncertainty
  - Clean cloud, shadow, snow
  - Uncertainty drops with increasing image-density





### Forest gain & loss





NASA

### Canopy gain/loss (e.g., degradation)



Tree Cover and Loss (Tsaagan-Uur, Mongolia)



## Multi-sensor fusion of Landsat and Sentinel-2

- Sample of 5 sites
  - North & South America
  - all forest biomes

### • Data

- Previous: GLS Landsat -5, -7
  - Global Land Survey (GLS)
- New: HLS S30
  - 9581 Landsat-8
  - 3177 Sentinel-2
  - 12758 total



#### 2015 & disturbance-year

# **Optical-SAR** fusion

- Estimate tree canopy cover
- Fill gaps (e.g., clouds) in optical estimates
- Discriminate natural forests from plantations
- Sentinel C-band backscatter & ratios
- UAVSAR L-band entropy
- Solely C-band models unlikely to discriminate forest types—need to incorporate with optical
- Possible L-band only model



10

**Fig. 5:** Distribution of SAR and optical-derived %Tree Cover for four vegetation types in the Osa Peninsula, Costa Rica. Data derived from 16 training points buffered by 75 m. Note improved class separability with L-band volume ratios (Z axis on the right) vs. C-band ratios (Z axis on the left). Also, SAR entropy is lower in oil palm plantations, allowing us to distinguish them from native palm stands.

Pinto et al. *in prep*.

### From masking water to mapping inundation frequency

## China's largest freshwater lake three times the size of London dries up due to drought

- New pictures show parts of the Poyang Lake in China's Jiangxi province turning into a huge grassland
- The lake was once 4,500 square kilometres (1,737 square miles), three times the size of Greater London
- Water in Poyang recedes every winter but this year the dry season arrived early due to a shortage of rainfall

#### By JULIAN LUK FOR MAILONLINI





### From masking water to estimating inundation frequency

Aral Sea's Eastern Basin Is Dry for First Time in 600 Years NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC



August 25, 2000

August 19, 2014



# Calibration & validation

Reference:

- Lidar
- High-res
- Drone?

Metrics:

$$RMSE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\left(M_i - R_i\right)^2}}{n}$$

 $RMSD = \sqrt{RMSD_s^2 + RMSD_u^2}$ 

$$RMSE_{s} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{M}_{i} - R_{i})^{2}}{n}}$$

$$RMSE_{u} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{i} - \hat{M}_{i})^{2}}{n}}$$





### Progress

#### Data:

- ✓ tree-canopy cover (%)
  - Globally at 30-m, annual resolution in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015
  - North- and South-America coverage at 30-m, annual resolution from 2010 2015
  - Per-pixel estimates of uncertainty
  - Ecoregional, lidar-based validation
- ✓ Inundation frequency (p(water))
  - Globally at 30-m, annual resolution in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015
  - North- and South-America coverage at 30-m, annual resolution from 2010 2015

### Algorithms:

- Estimation
  - ✓ single-image to "full-stack"
  - ✓ Landsat or Sentinel-2, Landsat and Sentinel-2
- Validation & calibration
  - ✓ Validation of tree-canopy cover based on high-resolution imagery
  - ✓ Lidar-based (linear) calibration of tree-canopy cover



### Application & validation

### Mapping the taiga-tundra ecotone

- Motive
  - Global indicator of biosphere response to climate change
- Challenges
  - Ecology
    - Climate: temperature, precipitation
    - Soil: depth, structure
    - Biology: dispersal, competition
  - Remote sensing
    - Short, sparse canopies
    - Snow & water cover
    - Short viewing seasons & shallow illumination angles
- Ecoregional calibration
  - Lidar & high-resolution optical
  - Empirical, linear
- Results
  - Removed saturation at >80% canopy cover
  - Reduced uncertainty (RMSE) by ~ 50%
  - More sensitive to cover of trees defined by > 2 m height



#### GLOEF (

# Philippine National Forest Monitoring System

- Sponsor: USAID/USFS
- Objectives
  - Nationally calibrated forest/nonforest dataset
  - Establish forest reference emission level (FREL)
  - Build capacity of national forestry & mapping agencies
- Partners
  - National
    - Forest Management Bureau
    - NAMRIA
  - International/Implementing
    - B-WISER



# Deforestation & conflict in Myanmar

- Coupling of forest clearing & civil conflict?
- Spatially complex dynamics
  - Small patches
  - regional variation in change-rate & -acceleration
- In-country partners
  - Potential for calibration
- Data specs
  - Thematic: Tree-canopy cover, forest loss
  - Spatial: national extent at 30-m resolution
  - Temporal: annual frequency from 2000 2018
  - Accuracy: locally calibrated (drone)
- Progress
  - Tree-canopy cover in 2000, 2005, 2010-2015
- Improvement
  - Filled gaps
  - Increased precision



#### TCC 2015 (Full-stack)



#### TCC 2015 (Full-stack)





### RMSE (% TCC)









### Milestones & products

- Global estimates of tree-canopy and water cover at 30-m, annual resolution in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015
- North- and South-America coverage at 30-m, annual resolution from 2010 – 2015
- Lidar-based calibration & validation of tree-canopy cover
- SAR discrimination of natural forest vs. plantation (oil palm)
- Algorithms developed & ready for HLS
  - Estimation
  - Change detection
    - Forest loss & degradation
  - Time-series
    - Disturbance & regrowth history
    - Stand age

- Data available at
  - Visualization: <u>www.terraPulse.com/terraView</u>
  - Download: <u>www.landcover.org/treeCover</u>



### ESA GLOBBIOMASS

- Series of international meetings
- Landsat-based tree-canopy cover distributed to GLOBBIOMASS
- GLOBBIOMASS using treecanopy cover as a predictor of higher-level products
- GLOBBIOMASS funded for second round





### Polar-ICE data stories

- Outreaching Arctic science to elementary schools
- Course modules built for science classes
- Science teachers instructed on ecology & Earth observation



#### What should we do about the trees?

Air temperatures are increasing in northern latitudes which is influencing where some trees and bugs are found. What will that mean for the northern forests over time?

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 End

 ← Prev
 Next →

### 1) Looking at the environment and the biology in the Arctic

Welcome to the world of trees! Here is a map of all the forests and trees globally in 2015.

terraPulse is compiling millions of satellite images of map forests around the world and how they're changing over time. Explore your neighborhood and your planet! See how much can change in 10 years! (Check out the data maps on terraPulse to learn more about what they do)

Zoom in and out. Enter a location to look at more closely. Play around and see where there are and







The cloud/shadow masks have significantly improved in version 1.3, but commission and omission errors were found for cloud, shadow, and water

🖉 glcfpro30.umd.edu - PuTTY	-		×
SPATIAL RESOLUTION=30			~
TIRS SSM MODEL=FINAL			
TIRS SSM POSITION STATUS=ESTIMATED			
ULX=300000			
ULY=7100020			
unit=Celsius			
USGS SOFTWARE=LPGS 2.6.2			
Corner Coordinates:			
Upper Left ( 300000.000, 7100020.000) ( 67d 5'11.87"W, 63	3d58' 9.93"N	I)	
Lower Left ( 300000.000, 7100050.000) ( 67d 5'12.01"W, 63	3d58'10.90"N	I)	
Upper Right ( 299970.000, 7100020.000) ( 67d 5'14.07"W, 63	3d58' 9.87"N	I)	
Lower Right ( 299970.000, 7100050.000) ( 67d 5'14.21"W, 63	3d58'10.84"N	I)	
Center ( 299985.000, 7100035.000) ( 67d 5'13.04"W, 63	3d58'10.39"N	I)	
ERROR 1: HDF4_EOS:EOS_GRID:/data/glcf-js-14-1/data/hls.gsf	c.nasa.gov/d	lata/vl.	3/s
ites/TUC/L30/2016/20JLR/HLS.L30.T20JLR.2016353.v1.3.hdf:Gr:	id:bandl0, k	and 1:	Inv
alid block dimension : -1 * 1			
Band 1 Block=0x0 Type=Int16, ColorInterp=Gray			
Description = TIRS1			
NoData Value=-10000			
ERROR 1: HDF4_EOS:EOS_GRID:/data/glcf-js-14-1/data/hls.gsf	c.nasa.gov/c	lata/vl.	3/s
ites/TUC/L30/2016/20JLR/HLS.L30.T20JLR.2016353.v1.3.hdf:Gr:	id:bandl0, k	and 1:	Inv
alid block dimension : -1 * 1			
Offset: 0, Scale:0.01			
[fengm:glcfpro30] ~>			- U

The HDF files of L30 and S30 v1.3 are not compatible with HDF-EOS

#### Inconsistent extent in OLI band 9